



SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

DATE: May 22, 2009

Please Provide me with SSC charter or post.

Stakeholder Comment(s):

Name: Judy Miller

We Need Clear process: Planning vs. Response

State's Step 1 - if different than Annex F - What does an OSRO do? NEBA should be Planning, not Response Process

SMART Protocols conducted in lower 48 by NOAA or Trustees. Was not originally intended to delay response. Has become a default requirement for RP/OSRO. Is Monitoring/testing "Required" - please clarify

Per Tom Lakso "Address Impacts" as req'd. by law must be determined - But that's a Planning (or listing) req't. - Not at time of spill. "Evaluate Biotic Community" & several other comments relate to the Planning job of RRT. Please do the Evaluations <sup>as part of planning</sup> but leave the guidelines to a <sup>being</sup> Response tool.

DR. Mearns presented NEBA/<sup>ERA</sup> as a Response tool a few years ago. Clarification of the "Planning" job compared to the kind of Guidance in RP/OSRO needs to get disperseants permitted. What level of a NEBA or ERA is expected during Response.

I'm very unclear on process & deliverables.

Dr Whitney's proposal reads that Guidelines will be developed ~~which~~ <sup>will</sup> provide clear strategic and tactical guidance on how dispersants can and will be used... " But I heard Dr Whitney state that the RP will be required to provide this with the permit application.

Who will review what, when?